Object recognition and computer vision 2024

Learning visual representations for robotics

Ivan Laptev

Ivan.Laptev@mbzuai.ac.ae https://www.di.ens.fr/~laptev Professor, MBZUAI, United Arab Emirates (on leave from Inria/Willow, DI ENS)







MOHA ZAYED







Cleaning



A DEC .

COST OF THE OWNER

100.000

Cleaning

Vacuuming







Cleaning

Human poses



Human poses



What actions are required?







<image>

What actions are required?











Structured

Perception

Factory Robots:

- → Specialized, Task specific
- → Very constrained factory environment where everything is predefined

Collaborative Robots:

How to learn actions given raw sensory input?

Unstructured

→ Open environment with varying conditions

 \rightarrow Needs to be generalist, handle multiple tasks, collaborate with people

Perception-Action cycle



How to obtain $\pi_{\theta}(a_t|o_t)$?

Strategy 1: State-based

- estimate \tilde{s}_t from O_t
- use Newtonian physics and explicit 3D geometry to derive a_t

s_t state

- O_t observation
- a_t action
- π_{θ} policy



Physical Twin

Perception-Action cycle



How to obtain $\pi_{ heta}(a_t|o_t)$?

Strategy 1: State-based

- estimate \tilde{s}_t from O_t
- use Newtonian physics and explicit 3D geometry to derive a_t



s_t state

- O_t observation
- a_t action
- π_{θ} policy

estimating \tilde{s}_t from o_t can be very hard

Perception-Action cycle



How to obtain $\pi_{\theta}(a_t|o_t)$?

Strategy 1: State-based

- estimate \tilde{s}_t from O_t
- use Newtonian physics and explicit 3D geometry to derive a_t

Strategy 2: sensor-based

s_t state

- O_t observation
- a_t action
- π_{θ} policy

- learn $\pi_{\theta}(a_t|o_t)$ from the data

Imitation Learning





behavior cloning



 \mathbf{a}_t

supervised learning

 $\pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_t | \mathbf{o}_t)$

Slide credit: S. Levine

Imitation Learning



Markov property

Slide credit: S. Levine

Imitation Learning



- Collect a set of training data $\mathcal{D} = \{(o_i^*, a_i^*)\}_{i=1...n}$ where actions are performed by an expert agent.
- Train a model π_{θ} to minimize 2

$$\mathcal{L}(\pi_{ heta}) = l(\pi_{ heta}(o_i^*), a_i^*)$$

where *l* is any loss function. For example : $\mathcal{L}(\pi_{\theta}) = \|\pi_{\theta}(o_{i}^{*}) - a_{i}^{*}\|_{2}^{2}$

Slide credit: S. Levine

End-to-end Driving via **Conditional Imitation Learning**

Felipe Codevilla, Antonio López - Computer Vision Center (CVC) Matthias Müller - King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST) Vladlen Koltun, Alexey Dosovitskiy - Intel Visual Computing Lab

We propose conditional imitation learning which allows an autonomous vehicle trained end-to-end to be directed by high-level commands.

Experiments in simulation and on a physical vehicle show that the method allows for goal-directed navigation guided by a topological planner or a user.

End-to-end driving via conditional imitation learning. Codevilla et al., ICRA 2018



Learning to Fly by Crashing

Dhiraj Gandhi, Lerrel Pinto, Abhinav Gupta

Carnegie Mellon University The Robotic Institute

Learning to fly by crashing. Gandhi, Pinto and Gupta, IROS 2017

Passive vs. Active vision

Image-text retrieval



Visual grounding





Image and video captioning



Visual Question answering





How many slices of pizza are Is this a vegetarian pizza?

Image generation



Visual dialog



Machine translation

Szczeniak Cachorro Cachorrito 강아지 Cão Hvolpur 幼犬





Passive: **Observations are pre-recorded**

Active: Observations depend on actions

Challenges





Supervision is costly or not unvaiable lacksquare





Large diversity of environments and possible actions

Control robots by natural language ullet



Learn from human demonstrations

SFV: Reinforcement Learning of Physical Skills from Videos





Xue Bin Peng, Angjoo Kanazawa, Jitendra Malik, Pieter Abbeel, Sergey Levine





Our framework consists of three components.



Given a video clip, the pose estimation stage predicts the pose of the actor in each frame.



The poses are processed by the motion reconstruction stage to produce a higher-fidelity reference motion.



In the motion imitation stage, a policy is trained with reinforcement learning to imitate the reference motion.

Overview



Reference Motion



State + Action



Reward



Reward



Motion Imitation via RL



and trained with RL to imitate the reference motion.



ViViDex: Learning Vision-based **Dexterous Manipulation from Human Videos**

Zerui Chen, Shizhe Chen, Cordelia Schmid, Ivan Laptev





https://zerchen.github.io/projects/vividex.html



Overview of ViViDex

Pose



Video Demonstration (DexYCB)

Estimation

Pose Estimation Results





Reference Trajectory

Overview of ViViDex





Reference Trajectory



Not Physically Plausible

Overview of ViViDex







Reference Trajectory







Results for relocation policies
























Results for relocation policies

























Results for relocation policies

























Comparison with the state of the art on the relocation task ViViDex visual policies



DexMV state-based policies



Real experiments with Allegro robot: seen objects











Supervision is costly or not unvaiable lacksquare



Large diversity of environments and possible actions



Control robots by natural language ullet

Learn from how-to videos

Learning from procedural videos

Don't jack your car without loosening the nuts!

[Alyarac et al., CVPR 2016]

Going WikiHow scale



Step 2: Filter out non-visual tasks

Step 1: Scrap ~130K tasks from WikiHow

Examples of scrapped tasks

How to Be Healthy
How to Cook Quinoa in a Rice Cooker
How to Sew an Apron
How to Break a Chain
How to April Fool your
Girlfriend
....

HowTo100M dataset





[Miech, Zhukov, Alayrac, Tapaswi, Laptev and Sivic, ICCV 2019]







Pets and Animals 1.9% Hobbies and Craft 16.1% Education 0.9%

> Health 27.0%

HowTo100M dataset: Examples



two stitches on two and we'll slip stitch



by skipping the first three stitches



two stitches on two and we'll slip stitch



stitch and just going to Mariel all the way







[Miech, Zhukov, Alayrac, Tapaswi, Laptev and Sivic, ICCV 2019]



garlic no Camino the garlic powder



a little black pepper and some sea salt

Video description datasets

Dataset	Clips	Captions	Videos	Duration	Source	Year
Charades [42]	10k	16k	10,000	82h	Home	2016
MSR-VTT [52]	10k	200k	7,180	40h	Youtube	2016
YouCook2 [61]	14k	14k	2,000	176h	Youtube	2018
EPIC-KITCHENS [5]	40k	40k	432	55h	Home	2018
DiDeMo [11]	27k	41k	10,464	87h	Flickr	2017
M-VAD [46]	49k	56k	92	84h	Movies	2015
MPII-MD [37]	69k	68k	94	41h	Movies	2015
ANet Captions [22]	100k	100k	20,000	849h	Youtube	2017
TGIF [23]	102k	126k	102,068	103h	Tumblr	2016
LSMDC [38]	128k	128k	200	150h	Movies	2017
How2 [39]	185k	185k	13,168	298h	Youtube	2018
HowTo100M	136M	136M	1.221M	134,472h	Youtube	2019

[Miech, Zhukov, Alayrac, Tapaswi, Laptev and Sivic, ICCV 2019]

Some of our work in this domain

• Learning from Narrated Instruction Videos,

J.-B. Alayrac, P. Bojanowski, N. Agrawal, J. Sivic, I. Laptev and S. Lacoste-Julien; In *CVPR'16, PAMI 2017*

- Joint Discovery of Object States and Manipulation Actions, • J.-B. Alayrac, J. Sivic, I. Laptev and S. Lacoste-Julien.; *In Proc. ICCV'17*
- Cross-task weakly supervised learning from instructional video, • D. Zhukov, J.-B. Alayrac, R.G. Cinbis, D. Fouhey, I. Laptev and J. Sivic; *in Proc. CVPR'19*
- HowTo100M: Learning a Text-Video Embedding by Watching Hundred Million • Narrated Video Clips,

A. Miech, D. Zhukov, J.-B. Alayrac, M. Tapaswi, I. Laptev and J. Sivic; *In Proc. ICCV'19*

- End-to-End Learning of Visual Representations from Uncurated Instructional, ٠ **Videos**, A. Miech^{*}, J.-B. Alayrac^{*}, L. Smaira, I. Laptev, J. Sivic and A. Zisserman; *In* Proc. CVPR'20
- Look for the Change: Learning Object States and State-Modifying Actions from **Untrimmed Web Videos**,

T. Souček, J.-B. Alayrac, A. Miech, I. Laptev and J. Sivic; *In Proc CVPR'22, PAMI'24*

GenHowTo: Learning to Generate Actions and State Transformations from ٠ Instructional Videos,

Tomáš Souček, Dima Damen, Michael Wray, Ivan Laptev, Josef Sivic, In proc CVPR'24

Recognition Actions and state changes Generation

Learn how actions change states of objects

Pour coffee

[Alayrac et al.,

ICCV 2017]









Pouring







Goal #1: Learn to localize object state changes

Input: videos with noisy video-level labels



[Tomas Soucek, Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Antoine Miech, Ivan Laptev, and Josef Sivic Multi-Task Learning of Object States and State-Modifying Actions from Web Videos, CVPR 2022, PAMI 2024]

Output: temporal localization of object states and state-modifying actions

Challenges

Visual variability

Long videos







In-the-wild, uncurated, noisy data





Republic



video of cake frosting

Contribution 1: Constraints for self-supervised learning



Changelt dataset



- **44 interactions** such as "How to cut an apple?"
- 34,000+ videos, 2600+ hours
- Up to 15mins long, 4.6mins on average
- Auto-annotated with the **noisy videolevel** category label
- 667 videos manually annotated with temporal labels.

Changelt dataset tortilla wrapping t-shirt dyeing paper plane folding

end state

initial state

action



initial state

action

end state



orange juice making





computer assembling







avocado peeling













Ego4D dataset avocado peeling

















Look for the Change: Learning Object States and State-Modifying Actions from Untrimmed Web Videos

T. Souček J.B. Alayrac A. Miech I. Laptev J. Sivic CVPR 2022

Look for the Change: Learning Object States and State-Modifying Actions from Untrimmed Web Videos

T. Souček J.B. Alayrac A. Miech I. Laptev J. Sivic CVPR 2022

Goal #2: Generate changes of object states

Input

peeled **(**) on chopping board

in a blender



[Tomas Soucek, Dima Damen, Michael Wray, Ivan Laptev and Josef Sivic GenHowTo: Learning to Generate Actions and State Transformations from Instructional Videos, CVPR 2024]

smoothie in a blender

Challenges:

- 1. Change the object
- 2. Keep the scene context



Prompt: a frosted cake with strawberries around the top



Prompt: a person kneading dough on a cutting board



Prompt: a person cutting a fish on a cutting board

EF-DDPM InstructPix2Pix





Contribution 1: Dataset of annotated image triplets



[Tomas Soucek, Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Antoine Miech, Ivan Laptev, and Josef Sivic. Multi-task learning of object state changes from uncurated videos, PAMI 2024.]

action prompt P $_{a\,c}$

"a person cutting an avocado"

"avocado halves on a chopping board"

state prompt P $_{\rm st}$

Contribution 2: Method





Preserves the scene while changing the object state

Contribution 2: Method



Experiments: quantitative evaluation



Models trained also on the test set categories.

	$Acc_{ac} \uparrow$	$Acc_{st} \uparrow$	
iseen	during trai	ning	
	0.51	0.50	
/	0.60	0.61	
	0.55	0.63	
pts)	0.52	0.62	
	0.66	0.74	
een d	uring train	ing	
Λ^{\dagger}	0.69	0.80	
	0.77	0.88	
	0.96	0.97	

Experiments: user study

Q1: "Which image better represents the final state described as <input prompt> of the same object as in the first image?".

Q1 semantics	GenHowTo	81%	1
	GenHowTo	80%	2
Q2 context	GenHowTo	68%	3
	GenHowTo	91%	

Q2: "Which image better preserves the consistency of the scene?" to verify how well the methods preserve the background.

- InstructPix2Pix .9% 20% EF-DDPM InstructPix2Pix 32% **EF-DDPM** 9%

Experiments: qualitative results

Generated action

a person is wrapping a tortilla on a plate



REAL IMAGE GENERATED

Generated object state

a plate with two burritos on it



Generated action

a man pouring beer into a glass



GENERATED

Generated object state

a man sitting at a table holding a glass of beer



REAL IMAGE

GENERATED

Challenges









lacksquare

Large diversity of environments and possible actions



Control robots by natural language ullet

Supervision is costly or not unvaiable

Use visionlanguage models

Language-defined goals

g: Clean the kitchen



Language-defined goals

g : Clean the kitchen



Navigation policy



Manipulation policy







Vision-and-Language Navigation (VLN)

Train autonomous agents that can follow natural language instructions to navigate in realistic environments



Go to the bathroom on the second floor and clean the mirror.

unseen house and find the target location

Matterport3D Simulator

- Simulator for embodied visual agents, based on Matterport3D dataset (Chang et. al. 2017)
 - Contains 10,800 panoramic images / 90 buildings
 - High visual diversity



Matterport3D: Learning from RGB-D Data in Indoor Environments, Chang et al., 3DV 2017

l on Matterport3D dataset) es / 90 buildings y

Matterport3D Simulator

Feasible trajectories determined by navigation graph

Matterport3D: Learning from RGB-D Data in Indoor Environments, Chang et al., 3DV 2017





Matterport3D Simulator

Training







Matterport3D: Learning from RGB-D Data in Indoor Environments, Chang et al., 3DV 2017

Evaluation

Unseen

VLN Challenge 1: Data

Learning good representations for VLN tasks

Existing works extract image features with models pretrained on Internet images

Egocentric images



Internet images



Contain more diverse views of scenes and objects Require more spatial relation reasoning besides object categories "walk to the back of the couch"

VLN Challenge 2: Modeling history

Keeping track of navigation history in agent's memory Existing works mainly adopt a fixed-size recurrent unit to encode history

Go to the bathroom on the second floor and clean the mirror.





trajectories

Helpful to understand the environment Correct previous navigation decisions and explore new areas

Prone to forget previous observations in long navigation
Airbert: In-domain Pretraining for Vision-and-Language Navigation

Pierre-Louis Guhur¹, Makarand Tapaswi^{1,2}, Shizhe Chen¹, Cordelia Schmid¹, Ivan Laptev¹







ICCV 2021

Project page: <u>https://airbert-vln.github.io</u> Code and data: <u>https://github.com/airbert-vln</u>

¹Inria, École normale supérieure, CNRS, PSL Research University, Paris, France, ²IIIT Hyderabad, India







Training: 61 environments R2R dataset Testing: unseen objects and scenes





Limited amount and diversity of VLN training data

Walk down the hall toward the Christmas tree. Stop in front of the first Christmas tree.

VLN-BERT: learning from web image-caption pairs

1. Pretraining 2. VLN fine-tuning Conceptual Captions (image-caption pairs) R2R (path-instruction pairs) a cartoon illustration of a bear waving and smiling Facade of an old shop the scenic route through mountain trees in a winter range includes these unbelievably snowstorm coloured mountains start

Limitations:

- Out-of-domain pretraining
- Lacks temporal reasoning

- Turn around and go straight. Take a left at the wall and go straight.



[Majumdar et al., ECCV 2020]

Self-supervised In-domain Pretraining

Collected BnB, a large-scale in-domain dataset

- 150K US listings from AirBnB
- Remove outdoor images
- Remove invalid captions

Dataset	Source	#Envs	#Imgs	#Texts
R2R	Matterport	90	10.8K	21.7K
REVERIE	Matterport	86	10.6K	10.6K
Speaker	Matterport	60	7.8K	0.2M
ConCaps	Web	-	3.3M	3.3M
BnB (ours)	Airbnb	140K	1.4M	0.7M



Dining and kitchen

Generating BnB Path Instructions

Input images with caption



Living room opening to the garden



Open kitchen with seating for 4

Output image sequence with instructions



Living room opening to the garden, open kitchen with seating for 4 and bedroom desk.

B. Use video ViLBERT captioning model

Exit the living room and walk through 66 the bedroom. Stop in front of the two chairs.



A. Concatenate image captions

VLN Pretraining: Shuffling loss



	BnB		Speaker		R2R		Success Rat	
	Mask	Shuffle	Rank	Shuffle	Rank	Shuffle	Seen	Unse
1	-	-	_	-	\checkmark	_	70.20	59.2
2	\checkmark	-	_	-	\checkmark	_	73.24	64.2
4	\checkmark	_	\checkmark	_	\checkmark	_	70.21	65.
5	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	73.83	68.



	BnB		Speaker		R2R		Success Rat	
	Mask	Shuffle	Rank	Shuffle	Rank	Shuffle	Seen	Unse
							70.00	50
	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	/0.20	59.2
2	\checkmark	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	73.24	64.2
4	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	70.21	65.
5	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	73.83	68.



	BnB		Speaker		R2R		Success Rat	
	Mask	Shuffle	Rank	Shuffle	Rank	Shuffle	Seen	Unse
1	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	70.20	59.2
2	\checkmark	_	_	_	\checkmark	_	73.24	64.
4	\checkmark	_	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	_	70.21	65.
5	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	73.83	68.



	BnB		Speaker		R2R		Success Rat	
	Mask	Shuffle	Rank	Shuffle	Rank	Shuffle	Seen	Unse
1	_	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	70.20	59.
2	\checkmark	_	_	-	\checkmark	-	73.24	64.
4	\checkmark	_	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	70.21	65.
5	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	73.83	68.



Results: Few-Shot Learning

Can we learn to navigate given a few environments?



Constraining the training over a very small number of environments.

- When tested on unseen environments, much of the objects were never
- observed.
- Airbert achieves much better
- performance in few-shot setting than VLN-BERT

# Envs	VLN-BERT	Airbert
1	27.06	49.48
10% (6)	37.01	58.04
Full (61)	57.15	64.75

History Aware Multimodal Transformer for Vision-and-Language Navigation







Shizhe Chen

Pierre-Louis Guhur

Cordelia Schmid

NeurIPS 2021

Webpage: https://cshizhe.github.io/projects/vln_hamt.html







Ivan Laptev

VLN Challenges: Modeling history

Turn left up the stairs. Go straig the bedro the right past the

Keeping track of the navigation state Environment understanding Instruction grounding

(Invisible to the agent)

Limitations of existing works

Adopt a fixed-size recurrent unit to encode the whole history

Turn right again and go through the closet.

Continue straight, into the bathroom.

Wait right there, in front of the mirror.



agent's observation



History Aware Multimodal Transformer (HAMT)



A fully transformerbased architecture for multimodal decision making

History Aware Multimodal Transformer (HAMT)

Long-horizon history modelling

Learn dependency of all panoramic observations and actions in history sequence

End-to-end optimization for visual representation

Fully transformer-based architecture allows efficient training



PROBLEMS

- Computational expensive to encode all panoramas
- K views, T steps $\rightarrow O(K^2T^2)$
- The action prediction task alone might be insufficient to learn generalizable models

HAMT: Hierarchical History Encoding

- ViT for single view image encoding ullet
- Panoramic Transformer for spatial relation encoding within panorama lacksquare
- Temporal Transformer for temporal relation encoding across panoramas \bullet



HAMT: End-to-End Training with Proxy Tasks

Common vision-and-language proxy tasks

- Masked Language Modelling •
- Masked Region Modelling •
- Instruction Trajectory Matching •

New proxy tasks for VLN

- Single-step Action Prediction/Regression
- Spatial Relationship Prediction

	MLN
\int	
L	
	Lang





on the left of

HAMT: Fine-tuning for Sequential Action Prediction

Combine Reinforcement Learning (RL) and Imitation Learning (IL)

$$\Theta \leftarrow \Theta + \underbrace{\mu \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla_{\Theta} \log \pi(\hat{a}_{t}^{h}; \Theta)(R_{t} - V_{t})}_{\text{Reinforcement Learning (RL)}} + \underbrace{\lambda \mu \frac{1}{T^{*}} \sum_{t=1}^{T^{*}} \nabla_{\Theta} \log \pi(a_{t}^{*}; \Theta)}_{\text{Imitation Learning (IL)}}$$

RL: A3C Algorithm Rewards: reduced navigation distance, path fildelity etc.

Experiments: Datasets

•	R2R RxR	VLN with Fine Require continue
•	REVERIE	VLN with H
•	R2R-Last	Requ

CVDN
Vision-and
Emphasize dial

- R4R: concatenate 2 paths in R2R
- R2R-Back: R2R + Return back to the start

Emphasize long-term scene memory & instruction grounding

e-grained Instructions Lous instruction grounding

High-level Instructions uire scene memory

Vision-and-Dialogue Navigation Emphasize dialogue history understanding

Long-horizon VLN

Experiments: Comparison with SoTA

HAMT outperforms state of the art on all datasets





Experiments: Ablation

How important is the history encoding?

- Recurrent: a fixed-size vector to encode the whole history
- Temporal-only: select only one view per panorama to improve efficiency
- **Hierarchical:** \bullet hierarchically encode all panoramas



Limitations of HAMT

HAMT



Sequence

no structure of the house

Action Space



Local actions hard to backtrack many steps











Limitations of HAMT







Navigable locations



Fine-grained representation



DUET: Experimental Results

Winner of VLN Challenges hosted in Human Interaction for Robotics Navigation Workshop at ICCV 2021



REVERIE dataset

GBE [8]

DUET (Ours)

Test

Unseen

	SR	SPL	RGS	RGSP L	
HAM T	30.40	26.67	14.88	13.08	
DUET	52.51 SOON	36.06 datase	31.88 et	22.06	
Split	Methods	TL	OSR↑ SR↑	SPL↑]	RGSPL↑
Val Unseen	GBE [8] DUET (Ours	28.96 36.20	28.54 19.52 50.91 36.2	2 13.34 8 22.58	1.16 3.75

27.88

41.83

21.45 12.90

43.00 33.44 21.42

0.45

4.17

9.23

1ST PLACE IN THE **REVERIE CHALLENGE 2021**

Shizhe Chen¹, Pierre-Louis Guhur¹, Makarand Tapaswi² Cordelia Schmid¹ and Ivan Laptev¹

¹Inria, École normale supérieure, CNRS, PSL Research University ²IIIT Hyderabad

presented at the

Human Interaction for Robotic Navigation Workshop at the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV) 2021

Qiwu

SIGNED, Dr. Oi Wu On behalf of the 2020 REVERIE Challenge Organizers

Yuankai Qi Fengda Zhu Qi Wu

























Cannot turn right. Back Track

Back tracking according to the constructed map.

Back tracking according to the constructed map.

Back tracking according to the constructed map.



































































Examples in simulation: successful cases

Target: "cabinet"



Target: "chest of drawer"





Real world examples

Navigation



Manipulation



Navigation vs. Manipulation



Should not change the state of the environment









Manipulation Challenges

Results will depend on the gravity, friction, object softness, ...



\rightarrow Use physics simulators

Large action space











Manipulation Challenges

Results will depend on the gravity, friction, object softness, ...



\rightarrow Use physics simulators

Large action space



 \rightarrow Define tasks by language



RLBench

The Robot Learning Benchmark & Learning Environment

Stephen James, Zicong Ma, David Rovick Arrojo, Andrew J. Davison

dyson Imperial College Robotics Lab London

Tasks and variations















Tasks and variations



- "place one of the maroon blocks on the target"

Simulation of scenes and observations



Scene



RGB

Depth

Mask

Observations

Demonstrations are defined by **3D waypoints**



Manipulation vs. Navigation







Uses raw RGB+D for visuomotor policies













History-aware instruction-conditioned multi-view transformer



context for current observations



Behavior Cloning loss for training; Single and Multitask training

HiveFormer: Evaluation steup

17 RLBench tasks



Lamp On



Open Wine Bottle

...



Push Buttons



Sweep to Dustpan



Put Money in Safe



Water Plants

Task text descriptions are not needed

HiveFormer: Results 10 tasks • Single-task setting

	Visual Tokens	Point Clouds	Gripper Position	Multi- View	History	Attn
R 1	×	×	×	×	×	×
R2	Channel	×	×	\checkmark	×	Self
R3	Channel	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	×	Self
R4	Channel	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	×	Self
R5	Channel	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Self
R6	Channel	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Self
R 7	Patch	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Self
R 8	Patch	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Cross

Transformer with multi-view, depth and gripper: +5.2% w/vs.w/o history: +3.7%Patch vs. channel tokens: +2.1% Cross- vs. Self-Attention: +4% Overall: +15.5%

SR
72.9 ± 4.1
73.1 ± 4.5
77.1 ± 5.8
78.1 ± 5.8
81.8 ± 5.2
82.3 ± 5.3
84.4 ± 6.4
88.4 ± 4.9

HiveFormer: Results 10 tasks • Single-task setting

		Visual Tokens	Point Clouds	Gripper Position	Multi- View	History	Attn	Mask Obs	SR	
C1 C2 C3	R 1	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	72.9 ± 4.1	
	R2	Channel	×	×	\checkmark	×	Self	×	73.1 ± 4.5	
	R3	Channel	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	×	Self	×	77.1 ± 5.8	+5.2
	R4	Channel	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	×	Self	×	78.1 ± 5.8	%
	R5	Channel	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Self	×	81.8 ± 5.2	
	R6	Channel	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Self	\checkmark	82.3 ± 5.3	
	R 7	Patch	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Self	\checkmark	84.4 ± 6.4	
	R 8	Patch	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Cross	\checkmark	88.4 ± 4.9	

Transformer with multi-view, depth and gripper: +5.2% w/vs.w/o history: +3.7%Patch vs. channel tokens: +2.1% Cross- vs. Self-Attention: +4% Overall: +15.5%
	Visual Tokens	Point Clouds	Gripper Position	Multi- View	History	Attn	Mask Obs	SR	
R 1	×	×	\times	\times	×	\times	×	72.9 ± 4.1	
R2	Channel	×	×	\checkmark	×	Self	×	73.1 ± 4.5	
R3	Channel	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	×	Self	×	77.1 ± 5.8	
R4	Channel	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	×	Self	×	78.1 ± 5.8	
R5	Channel	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Self	×	81.8 ± 5.2	+3.7%
R6	Channel	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Self	\checkmark	82.3 ± 5.3	
R 7	Patch	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Self	\checkmark	84.4 ± 6.4	
R 8	Patch	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Cross	\checkmark	88.4 ± 4.9	

Transformer with multi-view, depth and gripper: +5.2% w/vs.w/o history: +3.7%Patch vs. channel tokens: +2.1% Cross- vs. Self-Attention: +4% Overall: +15.5%

	Visual Tokens	Point Clouds	Gripper Position	Multi- View	History	Attn	Mask Obs	SR	
R 1	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	72.9 ± 4.1	
R2	Channel	×	×	\checkmark	×	Self	×	73.1 ± 4.5	
R3	Channel	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	×	Self	×	77.1 ± 5.8	
R4	Channel	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	×	Self	×	78.1 ± 5.8	
R5	Channel	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Self	×	81.8 ± 5.2	
R6	Channel	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Self	\checkmark	82.3 ± 5.3	
R 7	Patch	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Self	\checkmark	84.4 ± 6.4	+2.1%
R 8	Patch	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Cross	\checkmark	88.4 ± 4.9	

Transformer with multi-view, depth and gripper: +5.2% w/vs.w/o history: +3.7% Patch vs. channel tokens: +2.1% Cross- vs. Self-Attention: +4% Overall: +15.5%

	Visual Tokens	Point Clouds	Gripper Position	Multi- View	History	Attn	Mask Obs	SR		
R 1	×	×	×	×	×	\times	×	72.9 ± 4.1		
R2	Channel	×	×	\checkmark	×	Self	×	73.1 ± 4.5		
R3	Channel	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	×	Self	×	77.1 ± 5.8		
R 4	Channel	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	×	Self	×	78.1 ± 5.8		
R5	Channel	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Self	×	81.8 ± 5.2		
R6	Channel	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Self	\checkmark	82.3 ± 5.3		
R 7	Patch	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Self	\checkmark	84.4 ± 6.4	5	4
R 8	Patch	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Cross	\checkmark	88.4 ± 4.9		+4
										% 0



Transformer with multi-view, depth and gripper: +5.2% w/vs.w/o history: +3.7%Patch vs. channel tokens: +2.1% Cross- vs. Self-Attention: +4% Overall: +15.5%



Ľ

Transformer with multi-view, depth and gripper: +5.2% w/vs.w/o history: +3.7%Patch vs. channel tokens: +2.1% Cross- vs. Self-Attention: +4% Overall: +15.5%

Mask Obs	SR	
×	72.9 ± 4.1 73.1 ± 4.5	
×	77.1 ± 5.8	
× ×	78.1 ± 5.8 81.8 ± 5.2	+15.5%
\checkmark	82.3 ± 5.3 84.4 ± 6.4	
\checkmark	88.4 ± 4.9	

Manually group 74 RLBench tasks into 9 subsets

	Planning	Tools	Long Term	Rot. Invar.	Motion Planning	Screw	Multi Modal	Precision	Visual Occlusion	Avg	
Num. of tasks	9	11	4	7	9	4	5	11	14	74	
Auto-λ [14]	58.9	20.0	2.3	73.1	66.7	48.2	47.6	34.6	40.6	44.0	
Ours (w/o hist)	78.9	46.7	10.0	84.6	73.3	72.6	60.0	63.8	57.9	60.9	+21
Ours (one view)	57.7	23.2	12.3	57.8	63.2	35.6	40.7	33.7	37.1	40.1	
Ours	81.6	53.0	16.9	84.2	72.7	80.9	67.1	64.7	60.2	65.4	/ %

HiveFormer generalizes well to many tasks: +21.4% over [14] History matters especially **Planning**, **Tools** and **Long-Terms** tasks Multi-view matters especially for Screw, Precision and Visual Occlusion tasks

Manually group 74 RLBench tasks into 9 subsets

	Planning	Tools	Long Term	Rot. Invar.	Motion Planning	Screw	Multi Modal	Precision	Visual Occlusion	Avg
Num. of tasks	9	11	4	7	9	4	5	11	14	74
Auto- λ [14]	58.9	20.0	2.3	73.1	66.7	48.2	47.6	34.6	40.6	44.0
Ours (w/o hist)	78.9	46.7	10.0	84.6	73.3	72.6	60.0	63.8	57.9	60.9
Ours (one view)	57.7	23.2	12.3	57.8	63.2	35.6	40.7	33.7	37.1	40.1
Ours	81.6	53.0	16.9	84.2	72.7	80.9	67.1	64.7	60.2	65.4

HiveFormer generalizes well to many tasks: +21.4% over [14] History matters especially **Planning**, **Tools** and **Long-Terms** tasks Multi-view matters especially for Screw, Precision and Visual Occlusion tasks

Manually group 74 RLBench tasks into 9 subsets

	Planning	Tools	Long Term	Rot. Invar.	Motion Planning	Screw	Multi Modal	Precision	Visual Occlusion	Avg
Num. of tasks	9	11	4	7	9	4	5	11	14	74
Auto-λ [14]	58.9	20.0	2.3	73.1	66.7	48.2	47.6	34.6	40.6	44.0
Ours (w/o hist)	78.9	46.7	10.0	84.6	73.3	72.6	60.0	63.8	57.9	60.9
Ours (one view)	57.7	23.2	12.3	57.8	63.2	35.6	40.7	33.7	37.1	40.1
Ours	81.6	53.0	16.9	84.2	72.7	80.9	67.1	64.7	60.2	65.4

HiveFormer generalizes well to many tasks: +21.4% over [14] History matters especially **Planning**, **Tools** and **Long-Terms** tasks Multi-view matters especially for Screw, Precision and Visual Occlusion tasks

HiveFormer: Evaluation setup

Task variations



Press the white button, then push the green button, then push the gray one. Press the darker blue button, before tapping on the green button and then the lighter blue button. Evaluate on unseen task variations Task text descriptions become crucial

HiveFormer: Results Task variations



Press the white button, then push the green button, then push the gray one.

Press the darker blue button, before tapping on the green button and then the lighter blue button.

# Demos		Pus	h Butt	ons	Tower			
Per	Instr.	Seen Unseen			Seen	Uns	een	
Variation		Synt.	Synt.	Real	Synt.	Synt.	Real	
10	Seq.	96.4	71.1	65.7	71.6	49.8	19.4	
50	Seq.	99.4	83.1	70.9	74.3	52.1	20.6	
100	Seq.	100	86.3	74.2	77.4	56.2	24.1	
))		

Generalization to unseen variations Generalization to natural language extractions

HiveFormer: Results Task variations



Press the white button, then push the green button, then push the gray one.

Press the darker blue button, before tapping on the green button and then the lighter blue button.

# Demos Per Variation	Instr.	Pus Seen Synt.
10	Seq.	96.4
50	Seq.	99.4
100	Seq.	100

Generalization to Generalization to

sh Buttons Tower Seen Unseen Unseen Synt. Real Synt. Synt. Real 71.6 49.8 19.4 71.1 65.7 52.1 83.1 70.9 74.3 20.6 86.3 74.2 77.4 56.2 24.1

Generalization to unseen variations

Generalization to natural language expressions

Robust visual sim-to-real transfer for robotic manipulation

Ricardo Garcia Robin Strudel

Ivan Laptev



IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems





Shizhe Chen Etienne Arlaud

Cordelia Schmid





PaRis Artificial Intelligence Research InstitutE

Robust visual sim-to-real transfer for robotic manipulation

Ricardo Garcia Robin Strudel

Ivan Laptev



IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems





Shizhe Chen Etienne Arlaud

Cordelia Schmid





PaRis Artificial Intelligence Research InstitutE

Robust visual sim-to-real transfer for robotic manipulation

Ricardo Garcia Robin Strudel

Ivan Laptev



IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems





Shizhe Chen Etienne Arlaud

Cordelia Schmid





PaRis Artificial Intelligence Research InstitutE

PolarNet: 3D Point Clouds for Language-Guided Robotic Manipulation

CoRL Submission #247

Supervision

Can we plan tasks without waypoint supervision?



Language Models



Language Models for task planning

> Bring me the rice chips from the drawer





Solve long-horizon tasks from natural language instructions by grounding large language models in the real world.

"I spilled my drink, can you help with that?"

"I just worked out, can you bring me a snack and a drink to recover?"

"I finished a can of coke, can you throw away the can for me?"





Large language models lack information about robotic affordances.



"I spilled my drink, can you help?"

Language

Find a cleaner Find a sponge Find the apple Go to the trash can Pick up the apple Pick up the sponge Try using the vacuum

"I spilled my drink, can you help?"

Language

Find a cleaner Find a sponge Find the apple

Go to the trash can

Pick up the apple Pick up the sponge Try using the vacuum



Find a sponge Find a sponge Find the apple Go to the trash can

Pick up the apple Pick up the sponge Try using the vacuum

Affordance





Query language model to rank action primitives based on the instruction.



primitives based on current observation.



Query value function to get affordance of action



Combined score is the product of language score and affordance. We choose the maximum.









Combined score is the product of language score and affordance. We choose the maximum.







How would you put an apple on the table?	-20	Find an apple	0.6
	-30	Find a coke	0.6
	-30	Find a sponge	0.6
I would: 1. Find an apple.	-4	Pick up the apple	0.7
2	-30	Pick up the coke	0.2
	1		
	-5	Place the apple	0.1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-30	Place the coke	0.1
	-5	Go to the table	0.8
LLM	-20	Go to the counter	0.8





Value Functions

How would you put an apple on the table?	-20	Find an apple	0.6
apple on the table .	-30	Find a coke	0.6
	-30	Find a sponge	0.6
I would: 1. Find an apple.	-4	Pick up the apple	0.7
2. Pick up the apple	-30	Pick up the coke	0.2

	-5	Place the apple	0.1
-	-30	Place the coke	<mark>0.1</mark>
	-5	Go to the table	0.8
LLM	-20	Go to the counter	0.8

The process is repeated until the task is finished.







Supplementary Video for "Do As I Can, Not As I Say: Grounding Language in Robotics Affordances"

Robotics at Google and Everyday Robots



MOHAMED BIN ZAYED UNIVERSITY OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

LAIKA: Robot-Dog Explorer Demo

LAIKA: Functionality



Understands human instructions, e.g. "go to bicycle and check if it is broken"

Finds and navigates to desired objects

Reports on the state of found objects

LAIKA: Hardware setup







Unitree Go2



Metawall Screen

LAIKA: <u>Software pipeline</u>







LLMs produce hallucinations

Standard Prompting

Model Input

Q: Roger has 5 tennis balls. He buys 2 more cans of tennis balls. Each can has 3 tennis balls. How many tennis balls does he have now?

A: The answer is 11.

Q: The cafeteria had 23 apples. If they used 20 to make lunch and bought 6 more, how many apples do they have?



Q: Roger has 5 tennis balls. He buys 2 more cans of tennis balls. Each can has 3 tennis balls. How many tennis balls does he have now?

A: Roger started with 5 balls. 2 cans of 3 tennis balls each is 6 tennis balls. 5 + 6 = 11. The answer is 11.

Q: The cafeteria had 23 apples. If they used 20 to make lunch and bought 6 more, how many apples do they have?

Model Output

A: The cafeteria had 23 apples originally. They used 20 to make lunch. So they had 23 - 20 = 3. They bought 6 more apples, so they have 3 + 6 = 9. The answer is 9. 🗸



A: The answer is 27.

Chain-of-Thought Prompting Elicits Reasoning in Large Language Model, Wei et al., NeurIPS 2022

Chain-of-Thought Prompting

Chain-of-thought planning





Plan: Pick rxbar chocolate

User

User

Move the green objects together

Plan: Move green can near green rice chip bag



Plan: Move blue chip bag near pepsi



















RT-2: Vision-Language-Action Models Transfer Web Knowledge to Robotic Control, Brohan et al., CoRL 2023



More recent work on LLM-based planning

- Robots That Ask For Help: Uncertainty Alignment for Large Language Model Planners, Ren et al., \bullet CoRL 2023
- Bootstrap Your Own Skills: Learning to Solve New Tasks with Large Language Model Guidance, Zhang et al., CoRL ۲ 2023
- VoxPoser: Composable 3D Value Maps for Robotic Manipulation with Language Models, Huang et al., \bullet CoRL 2023
- Open-World Object Manipulation using Pre-Trained Vision-Language Models, Stone et al., • CoRL 2023
- Language-guided Robot Grasping: CLIP-based Referring Grasp Synthesis in Clutter, Tziafas et al., CoRL 2023
- SLAP: Spatial-Language Attention Policies, Parashar et al., \bullet CoRL 2023
- Large Language Models as Commonsense Knowledge for Large-Scale Task Planning, Zhao et al., \bullet NeurIPS 2023
- ProgPrompt: Generating Situated Robot Task Plans using Large Language Models, Singh et al., **ICRA 2023**
- ManipLLM: Embodied Multimodal Large Language Model for Object-Centric Robotic Manipulation, Li et al., CVPR \bullet 2024
Beyond Robotics: Animation





Recent Advances in Video Generation



















Multi-Track Timeline Control for Text-Driven 3D Human Motion Generation. Petrovich et al., CVPRW 2024

Text only

Text + Trajectory



GMD: Guided Motion Diffusion for Controllable Human Motion Synthesis. Karunratanakul et al., ICCV 2023

Text + Key locations



Object Motion Guided Human Motion Synthesis. Li et al., arXiv 2023



Human-Object Interaction from Human-Level Instructions. Wu et al., arXiv 2024



A man runs and then he waves his hand and he crosses arms over chest, and finally he plays the guitar



Fleximo: Towards Flexible Text-to-Human Motion Video Generation. Zhang et al., arXiv Nov 2024

Summary







Make me a cup of coffee



Learning from human demonstrations ullet

Learning from video •

Language-driven planning •

Language-driven animation ullet



MOHAMED BIN ZAYED **UNIVERSITY OF RTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE**

Study Research News & events About Innovate

Ranked in the Top 20 globally in AI, CV, ML and NLP



SUSTAINABILITY



Embodied Perception Models and learning methods for embodied computer vision



Ivan Laptev



Fabio Pizzati



Mingfei Han

Postdocs



Rocktim Jyoti Das



RAs



RidouaneGhermi



Junaid Ansari

PhD students

Amine

Boudjoghra

David Romero



Kamila Zhumakhanova



Diana Turmakhan



Rikhat Akizhanov





MSc students



Embodied Perception

What will happen to the scene after action X? (prediction)



Physics-informed Language-aware Sensor-driven Learnable **World Models**





What actions are needed for state transition $A \rightarrow B$? (planning)





EP Team: Recent projects







Building a new lab for Embodied Perception

- Internships are available •
- PhD application is <u>open</u> \bigcirc
- **Competitive Internship and PhD salaries** •
- **Departments of CV, NLP, ML, Robotics** \bullet

Contact: Ivan.Laptev@mbzuai.ac.ae





